arXiv:1607.08566v1 [math.SP] 28 Jul 2016

UNIFORM LOCALIZATION IS ALWAYS UNIFORM

RUI HAN

Abstract. In this note we show that if a family of ergodic Schrödinger operators on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)$ with continuous potentials have uniformly localized eigenfunctions then these eigenfunctions must be uniformly localized in a homogeneous sense.

1. Introduction

Given a topological space Ω, let $T_i : \Omega \to \Omega$ be commuting homeomorphisms, and let μ be an ergodic Borel measure on Ω. Let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and define $V_\omega(n) = f(T^n\omega)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$, where $T^n = T_1^{n_1}...T_\gamma^{n_\gamma}$. Let H_ω be the operator on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)$,

$$(H_\omega u)(n) = \sum_{|m-n|=1} u(m) + V_\omega(n)u(n).$$

The occurrence of pure point spectrum for the operators $\{H_\omega\}$ is called *phase stable* if it holds for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

For a self-adjoint operator H on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)$. We say that H has uniformly localized engenfunctions (ULE), if H has a complete set of orthonormal eigenfunctions $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, and there are $\alpha > 0$, $C > 0$, such that

$$|\phi_n(m)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha|m-m_n|}$$

for all eigenfunctions ϕ_n and suitable m_n. It is known that ULE has a close connection with phase stability of pure point spectrum. Actually in paper [4], Jitomirskaya pointed out that instability of pure point spectrum implies absence of uniform localization. It is also shown in [3] that if H_ω has ULE for ω in a set of positive μ-measure, then H_ω has pure point spectrum for any $\omega \in supp(\mu)$. The proof of this statement mainly relies on the fact that ULE implies uniform dynamical localization (UDL), which means if H_ω has ULE, then

$$|(\delta_l, e^{-itH_\omega}\delta_m)| \leq C_\omega e^{-\alpha_\omega|l-m|}$$

for some constants α_ω, and C_ω that depend on ω. Recently in [1] and [2], Damanik and Gan established ULE for a certain model and then proved that for this model, actually C_ω and α_ω can be chosen to be independent of ω. In this note we will show that the latter property is always a corollary of ULE.

First, let us give a new definition.

Definition 1.1. H_ω has uniform or homogeneous ULE in a set S means that H_ω has ULE for any ω in S and

$$|\phi_n^\omega(m)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha|m-m_n^\omega|}$$

with constants $\alpha > 0$ and $C > 0$ which do not depend on ω.

Then the main theorems in the note can be stated as follows:

†This work was partially supported in part by DMS-1401204.

Theorem 1.1. *If H_ω has ULE for ω in a positive μ-measure set, then H_ω has homogeneous ULE in $supp(\mu)$.*

Theorem 1.1′. *If T is minimal, and H_ω has ULE at a single ω, then H_ω has homogenous ULE in Ω.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\{\mathbf{U}_k\}$ be a family of transitions on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)$ defined by $(\mathbf{U}_k u)(m) := u(m-k)$. Clearly, if $\{\phi_n^\omega\}$ is a complete set of eigenfunctions of H_ω, then $\{\mathbf{U}_k\phi_n^\omega\}$ is a complete set of eigenfunctions of $H_{T^k\omega}$. Also, if H_ω has ULE, which means $\exists\ \alpha_0 > 0, C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\phi_n^\omega(m)| \le C_0 e^{-\alpha_0|m-m_n^\omega|}$$

for all eigenfunctions ϕ_n^ω and suitable m_n^ω. Then $H_{T^k\omega}$ also has ULE. In fact if we let $m_n^{T^k\omega} = m_n^\omega + k$, then

$$|\phi_n^{T^k\omega}(m)| = |(\mathbf{U}_k\phi_n^\omega)(m)| \le C_0 e^{-\alpha_0|m-m_n^{T^k\omega}|}$$

for all eigenfunctions $\mathbf{U}_k\phi_n^\omega$, also notice that the constants C_0 and α_0 are the same for H_ω and $H_{T^k\omega}$.

Lemma 2.1. *If H_ω has ULE for ω in a positive μ-measure set S, then H_ω has ULE for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$.*

Proof. H_ω has ULE in $\bigcup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^\gamma} T^k S$, which is a transition invariant set, so $\mu(\Omega\backslash(\bigcup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^\gamma} T^k S)) = 0$. □

Theorem 2.2. *If H_ω has ULE for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$, then $\exists\ \alpha > 0$ independent of ω, such that*

$$|\phi_n^\omega(m)| \le C_\omega e^{-\alpha|m-m_n^\omega|}$$

for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$ and all eigenfunctions ϕ_n^ω with suitable m_n^ω.

Proof. Let $\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^\infty \{\omega|\ |\phi_n^\omega(m)| \le C_\omega e^{-\frac{1}{j}|m-m_n^\omega|},$ for all eigenfunctions ϕ_n^ω and suitable $m_n^\omega\}:= \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^\infty A_j$. A_j is translation invariant. Since $\mu(\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^\infty A_j) = 1$, $\exists\ j_0$ such that $\mu(A_{j_0}) = 1$. □

Now, let's return to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 2.2, and direct computation we have $|(\delta_l, e^{-itH_\omega}\delta_m)| \le C_\omega e^{-\alpha|l-m|}$ for a.e. ω. Let

$$F(\omega) = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{Q},\ l,m\in\mathbb{Z}^\gamma} |(\delta_l, e^{-itH_\omega}\delta_m)|e^{\alpha|l-m|}.$$

Then $F(\omega) < \infty$ *a.e.* ω. It is also easy to see that $F(\omega)$ is measurable and translation invariant. Therefore, by ergodicity, $F(\omega) = C$ *a.e.* ω. Hence $|(\delta_l, e^{-itH_\omega}\delta_m)| \le Ce^{-\alpha|l-m|}$ *a.e.* ω. Then on a dense set in $supp(\mu)$,

$$|(\delta_l, e^{-itH_\omega}\delta_m)| \le Ce^{-\alpha|l-m|}.$$

By continuity, the inequality holds for any ω in $supp(\mu)$.
Then since $P_{\{E\}}^\omega$ =s-lim$_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{2T}\int_{-T}^T e^{iEs}e^{-iH_\omega s}ds$, we have

$$|(\delta_l, P_{\{E\}}^\omega\delta_m)| \le Ce^{-\alpha|l-m|}$$

for any $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore if we choose $\tilde{m}_n^\omega$ so that $|\phi_n^\omega(\tilde{m}_n^\omega)| = \sup_m |\phi_n^\omega(m)|$, we get

$$|\phi_n^\omega(l)|^2 \leq |\phi_n^\omega(l)||\phi_n^\omega(\tilde{m}_n)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha|l-\tilde{m}_n^\omega|}.$$

□

Remark 2.1. For the proof of Theorem 1.1′, one need to realize that when T is minimal, $F(\omega)$ being translation invariant implies that $F(\omega)$ is constant in a dense subset of Ω.

3. Generalization

In fact we can extend the result above to a more general case where $f(x)$ is allowed to have discontinuities.

Definition 3.1. We say f has invariant continuity filter in Ω if at every $\omega \in \Omega$, there is a filter F_ω, such that any $A_\omega \in F_\omega$ satisfies the following conditions:
1. $\mu(A_\omega \bigcap B(\omega, \delta)) > 0$, for any $\delta > 0$,
2. $\lim_{\omega_k \in A_\omega, \omega_k \to \omega} f(\omega_k) \to f(\omega)$,
3. $T^n(A_\omega) \in F_{T^n\omega}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$.

Example. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and μ be the Lebesgue measure. For any $\theta \in \Omega$, $T(\theta) = \theta + \alpha$ where $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = \{x\}$. Define $(H_\theta u)(n) = u(n+1) + u(n-1) + f(T^n\theta)u(n)$. The reason why we are interested in this model is that ULE has recently been shown for it in [5]. Obviously in this model f is not continuous but it does have continuity invariant filter at every $\theta \in [0, 1]$. In fact, the filter at θ is the set of all intervals with left endpoint θ. Generally speaking, all the right or left continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with direction preserving T has invariant continuity filter at every point.

Now we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume f is bounded and has invariant continuity filter at every $\omega \in \Omega$, then if H_ω has ULE in a positive μ-measure set, H_ω has homogenous ULE in supp(μ).*

As before, we also have:

Theorem 3.1′. *Assume f is bounded and has invariant continuity filter at every $\omega \in \Omega$, then if H_ω has ULE at a single ω, H_ω has homogenous ULE in Ω.*

Proof. Notice that in the Proof of Theorem 1.1, we only use the continuity of f in the last step, which means we still have

$$(\delta_l, e^{-itH_\omega}\delta_m) \leq Ce^{-\alpha|l-m|}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_0$, where $\mu(\Omega_0) = 1$.
Now consider any $\omega_0 \notin \Omega_0$, we know $\mu(A_{\omega_0} \bigcap B(\omega_0, \frac{1}{k})) > 0$, hence we can choose $\omega_k^{(0)} \in A_{\omega_0} \bigcap B(\omega_0, \frac{1}{k})$, $\omega_k^{(0)} \in \Omega_0$ and $|f(\omega_k^{(0)}) - f(\omega_0)| < \frac{1}{2^k}$. Then $T^m\omega_k^{(0)} \in T^m A_{\omega_0}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$. $T^m\omega_k^{(0)} \to T^m\omega_0$, therefore $f(T^m\omega_k^{(0)}) \to f(T^m\omega_0)$. Hence we can choose a subsequence of $\{\omega_k^{(0)}\}$, say $\{\omega_k^{(m)}\}$, such that $|f(T^m\omega_k^{(m)}) - f(T^m\omega_0)| < \frac{1}{2^k}$. Notice that by the diagonal argument, we can find a sequence $\{\omega_k\}$, satisfying $\omega_k \in \Omega_0$ and $|f(T^j\omega_k) - f(T^j\omega_0)| < \frac{1}{2^k}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}^\gamma$ and $k \geq |j|$.
Now, let us show that for fixed l, m, t:

$$(\delta_l, e^{-itH_{\omega_k}}\delta_m) \to (\delta_l, e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}\delta_m).$$

Indeed: $|(\delta_l, e^{-itH_{\omega_k}}\delta_m) - (\delta_l, e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}\delta_m)|$
$= |(\delta_l, (e^{-it(H_{\omega_k}-H_{\omega_0})} - 1)e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}\delta_m)|$
$= |(\delta_l, (e^{-it(H_{\omega_k}-H_{\omega_0})} - 1)\sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} a_r\delta_r)|$
$\leq |a_l|(e^{|t||f(T^l\omega_k)-f(T^l\omega_0)|} - 1) \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$.
Hence $|(\delta_l, e^{-itH_{\omega_0}}\delta_m)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha|l-m|}$. □

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Svetlana Jitomirskaya for her guidance and inspiring discussions on this subject.

References

1. Damanik, D. and Gan, Z., 2010. Limit-periodic Schrdinger operators in the regime of positive Lyapunov exponents. Journal of Functional Analysis, 258(12), pp.4010-4025.
2. Damanik, D. and Gan, Z., 2011. Limit-periodic Schrdinger operators with uniformly localized eigenfunctions. Journal d'Analyse Mathmatique, 115(1), pp.33-49.
3. del Rio, R., Jitomirskaya, S., Last, Y. and Simon, B., 1996. Operators with singular continuous spectrum, IV. Hausdorff dimensions, rank one perturbations, and localization. Journal d'Analyse Mathmatique, 69(1), pp.153-200.
4. Jitomirskaya, S., 1997. Continuous spectrum and uniform localization for ergodic Schrdinger operators. journal of functional analysis, 145(2), pp.312-322.
5. Jitomirskaya, S. and Kachkovskiy, I., 2015. All couplings localization for quasiperiodic operators with Lipschitz monotone potentials. arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.02226.

University of California, Irvine, California
E-mail address: rhan2@uci.edu